

ORIGINAL RESEARCH**A negative correlation between self-regulation learning strategies and emotional intelligence: a new finding**

Shahin Karimpour¹, Arezou Sayad², Khadije Aerab Sheibani^{3*}

1. MSc. Student. Department of Psychology, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran
2. Assistant of Prof., Department of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3. Assistant of Prof., Department of Psychology, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding Author:

Department of Psychology, Payame Noor University, Tehran, Iran
Email: shakiba_a_shaibani@yahoo.com

Date Received: June 2017 Date Accepted: August 2017 Online Publication: December 20, 2018

Abstract

Background and Objective: In self-regulation learning, learners will have personal control over the learning process, and emotional intelligence refers to personal control of emotions and feelings of self-regulation. The present study seeks to determine the relationship between the components of self-regulation learning and emotional intelligence.

Materials and Methods: A sample of 200 students (100 females and 100 males) who were studying at Tehran and Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences participated in the study. Self-regulation learning scale and Goleman's emotional intelligence questionnaire were used. Data were analyzed using Pearson correlation coefficient and multivariate regression tests.

Results: The results of regression analysis showed that the correlation coefficient between self-regulation learning and emotional intelligence was negative ($r = -0.171$ and $p = 0.015$). Among the self-regulation learning components, the correlation coefficient between emotional intelligence and organization and transfer components ($r = -0.144$, $p = 0.041$) and self-efficacy ($p = 0.011$, $r = -0.18$) were significant.

Conclusion: The negative relationship in the present research suggests that feelings emotions for expressing themselves and facilitating their presentation emerge in the easiest, happiest and most successful way of thinking and harmony. But self-regulation learning is a way to repeat and practice, persevere and tolerate problems to reach logical thinking.

Keywords: Self-regulation learning, Emotional intelligence, SRLS

Introduction

By the advent of the 20th century, psychologists presented different learning theories; to the extent that one should consider this century as the time of prosperity and evolution of learning theories. Before 1950s, it was generally believed that learning was due to the impact of external stimulus, but from the 1950s onwards, researchers were challenged and conducted a series of studies that showed our learning and skills were more affected by internal stimulus (1). Social learning theory is one of the theories proposed by Bandura; he is one of social learning theorists who proposed self-regulation learning. In this method, learners have clear control over the learning process (2-5).

Following this, some psychologists focused on how the students arranged their learning. Zimmerman and Ponz presented fourteen classes of self-regulation learning strategies that students used through the classroom and study course (6).

Emotional intelligence consists of two components: the intrapersonal intelligence, this intelligence represents one's awareness of her/his feelings and excitement, beliefs and feelings of personality, and respect for oneself, and the recognition of innate talents, the autonomy of action in performing the desired tasks, and in total, it is a personal control on the emotions and feelings of self-regulation. The interpersonal intelligence points to the ability to understand others and wants to know what their feelings are, how they work and how to work with them. According to Gardner, sellers, teachers, clinical experts and successful religious leaders may have a high interpersonal intelligence. Although interest in autonomous learning has different roots, self-regulation learning is referred to as a general concept of the meta-cognitive and motivational behaviors of students involved in their learning process (6). The term meta-cognitive flow refers to self-regulation plan of learners, self-constructing and self-assessment in different stages in the learning process. Tailor defined self-regulation as the way that individuals exercise their control directly (7).

Zimmerman and Martinez Ponce presented a model that is composed of fourteen categories of self-regulation learning strategies (6). Recently, extensive research has been done on the impaired emotional intelligence and its effects on quality of life, career and academic success, resistance to stress, health, and quality

of social and marital relationships. These studies show the implications of emotional intelligence on success and happiness in life (8).

The number of people who realize that emotional intelligence plays a more important role than intelligence quotient (IQ) in the personal and professional success of human beings. Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire, prepared by R. Bar-on is one of the most widely used psychometric instruments to assess the set of capabilities, competencies and non-cognitive skills that affect the individual's ability to succeed in coping with requests and environmental pressures, and have emotional and social functions that lead to psychological well-being (9).

Also, in this research we used Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (EQ) (10). According to Daniel Goleman's theory, if mankind has an emotional intelligence other than intelligence quotient (IQ), it is interesting to say that for success, EQ is far less capable of EQ; in other words, EQ determines the success of an individual not IQ, and the good news is that increasing emotional intelligence is far more feasible compared to IQ. In this test, Daniel Goleman's 33-item questionnaire has been used. The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship between the components of self-regulation learning strategies (SRLS) with emotional intelligence.

Materials Methods:

Statistical population and sampling method:

The population of this study, based on Morgan's table, includes 200 students studying in the academic year of 2016-2017 in Tehran and Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences who were selected by random sampling method. This number includes 100 female students and 100 male students. 100 students of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences and 100 students of Tehran University were randomly selected at different educational levels from the age of 20 to 40 years old.

Research design: The method was to refer to the universities for two months and conduct all tests that considered for the students, which included the Self-regulation Learning Questionnaire (SRLS) and Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire.

Research tool: In this research, the following tools are used; and the characteristics of each

scale, method of scoring, and their reliability and validity are presented.

1. Self-regulation Learning Strategies Questionnaire (SRLS)
2. Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire

1- SRLS/Self-regulation Learning Strategies Questionnaire

In this research, this scale was used to measure students' self-regulation learning. This scale was developed by Zimmerman and Ponce (6). This scale has 27 items, of which the first 24 items are related to the fourteen self-regulation learning strategies, and the total score of respondent is the sum of these 24 items.

2. Goleman's Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire

The ability to monitor the feelings and emotions of yourself and others and to distinguish between your feelings and others refers to the use of emotional knowledge to guide your thinking and the relationship between yourself and others (11).

The questions in this test are related to emotional intelligence dimensions, each dimension is scored separately. These components include self-awareness, self-regulation, self-motivation, empathy, and social skills.

Reliability and Validity: The reliability coefficient of the SRLS and Goleman's EQ questionnaire is presented in Table 1.

Results:

The present study was conducted among 200 students aged 20-40 years in the academic year of 2016-2017 in Tehran and Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. 100 male students with an average age of 25 ± 2.4 and 100 female students with average age of 24 ± 1.9 were selected. Findings on the SRLS components relationship with emotional intelligence are presented in Table 2. Regarding the results of correlation coefficient, correlation was observed between EQ with variables of self-regulation learning ($r = -0.171$, $p = 0.015$), organization and transfer ($r = -0.144$, $p = 0.041$) and self-efficacy ($r = -0.18$, $p = 0.011$). Other relationships were not statistically significant (Table 2).

Figure 1 shows the Spearman correlation coefficient between emotional intelligence and self-regulation learning strategies. The relationship between emotional intelligence and self-regulation learning variable is inverse, thus,

with increasing emotional intelligence, self-regulation learning score have decreased (Fig. 1).

Discussion

In this study, the relationship between self-regulation components and emotional intelligence was negative; and this relation was significant in the organization, transmission and self-efficacy components. Self-regulation learning was also significant with total emotional intelligence. It can be said that the formation of emotional intelligence components occurs in the early years of the child's life and these abilities are the emotional basis of all types of learning.

The National Center for Neonatal Clinical Studies reports that the child's awareness of various events with early reading ability cannot predict the success of children at school as much as emotional and social criteria. The criteria such as self-reliance and being interested, knowing what kind of behavior they are expected, and how to control themselves at the peak of the misbehavior impulse, how to be patient and follow the rules and orders, and express their demands when accompanying other children (10). Emotional intelligence is the ability to use emotions to facilitate thinking (12). Recently, extensive research has been done on the disorders in emotional intelligence and its effects on quality of life, career and academic success, resistance to stress, health, and quality of social and marital relationships. These studies implied the effect of emotional intelligence on success and happiness in life (8,13). According to Bar-on, emotional intelligence is a set of non-cognitive abilities, knowledge and skills that affect the ability of a successful encounter with demands, constraints and environmental pressures (9).

Conclusion

According to the correlation coefficients, the negative correlation between the emotional intelligence variable and the SRLS components, as well as the organization and transfer components and self-efficacy were significant. Regarding the results of the present study, it seems that the negative relationship found in this research suggests that emotions and feelings express themselves and facilitate their presentation by the emergence of the easiest, happiest and most successful way of thinking

and coordinating and self-regulation learning is the more logical and laborious way.

Indeed, students can do self-regulation processes through hard work, endurance, and choosing learning strategies. However, emotional intelligence is the ability to use emotions to facilitate thinking. Self-regulation learning is a way to repeat and practice and endure problems to achieve logical thinking.

Conflict of interests

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Franken RE. Human Motivation. 4th edition. Pacific Grove. CA: Brooks Cole. 1998. P. 123-134.
2. Bandura, A. Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive Theory, New Jersey practice – Hall. 1986. P. 82-98.
3. Paulady, M. Relationship between self-regulated learning and educational motivation and intelligence components with students' performance in second year high school students in Ahwaz. 2013. P. 43-57.
4. Karimpour, SH. Comparison of the Relationship between Self-Educational Learning Strategies, Motivational and Intelligence Components with Academic Performance of Second Year Girls and Boys in Ahwaz High Schools. 1995. P. 68-72.
5. Karimpour, SH. The relationship between self-regulated learning strategies, motivational factors (self-efficacy, intrinsic values, test anxiety), and intelligence with each other and with the academic performance of second year high school students in Ahwaz. 1997. P. 62-78.
6. Zimmerman BJ, Martinez-Pons M. Student differences in self regulated learning: Relating grades, sex and giftedness to self efficacy and strategy use. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 1990; 82(2), 51-59.
7. Tailor SE. Health psychology. Third edition. New York: McGraw Hill. 1995. P.64-81.
8. Neil T. Social happiness: Theory into policy and practice. *Social happiness: Theory into policy and practice*. 2004. P.81-95.
9. Bar-On R. The Bar On Model of Social and Emotional Intelligence (ESI). *Psicothema*, 1997; 18(1): 13-25.
10. Golman D. Emotional Inteligence. Bantam. 1995. P. 98-109.
11. Maier M. Telling psychological tales, *International Journal of personal construct Psychology*, 1990; 3(1): 121-135.
12. Salvi A, Carrupt PA, Mayer JM, Testa B. *Drug metab dispos*. 1997; 25: 395-398.
13. Pintrich PR. A conceptual framework for assessing motivation and self-regulated learning in college students. *Educational psychology review*, 2004; 16(2), 385-409.

Table1. The reliability coefficient of the self-regulation learning and Goleman's EQ questionnaire

Variables	Cronbach's alpha
self-regulation learning questionnaire	0.81
Goleman's EQ questionnaire	0.83

Table2. Spearman correlation coefficient between emotional intelligence and self-regulation learning strategies

Self-regulation learning strategies	Spearman correlation coefficient	p value
Self-regulation learning	-0.171	.015
Self-evaluating	-0.089	.209
organization and transfer	-0.144	.041
Goal setting & planning	-0.087	.219
Seeking information	-0.085	.231
emotional intelligence Keeping records & monitoring	-0.072	.314
Environment structuring	-0.059	.407
Self-consequating	-0.180	.011
Rehearsing & memorizing	-0.099	.162
Peer seeking assistance	-0.055	.443
Teacher seeking assistance	0.049	.492
Adult seeking assistance	-0.057	.425
Test reviewing	-0.081	.254
Notes reviewing	-0.045	.523
Text reviewing	-0.052	.468

Figure1. Correlation of the Self-Regulation Learning and Emotional Intelligence

